Affirmative Action Plan
for the Recruitment, Hiring, Advancement, and Retention of Persons with Disabilities

To capture agencies’ affirmative action plan for persons with disabilities (PWD) and persons with targeted disabilities (PWTD), EEOC regulations (29 C.F.R. § 1614.203(e)) and MD-715 require agencies to describe how their affirmative action plan will improve the recruitment, hiring, advancement, and retention of applicants and employees with disabilities.

Section I: Efforts to Reach Regulatory Goals

EEOC regulations (29 CFR §1614.203(d)(7)) require agencies to establish specific numerical goals for increasing the participation of persons with disabilities and persons with targeted disabilities in the federal government.

1. Using the goal of 12% as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWD by grade level cluster in the permanent workforce? If “yes”, describe the trigger(s) in the text box.
   a. Cluster GS-1 to GS-10 (PWD) Answer No
   b. Cluster GS-11 to SES (PWD) Answer No

*For GS employees, please use two clusters: GS-1 to GS-10 and GS-11 to SES, as set forth in 29 C.F.R. § 1614.203(d)(7). For all other pay plans, please use the approximate grade clusters that are above or below GS-11 Step 1 in the Washington, DC metropolitan region.

2. Using the goal of 2% as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWTD by grade level cluster in the permanent workforce? If “yes”, describe the trigger(s) in the text box.
   a. Cluster GS-1 to GS-10 (PWTD) Answer No
   b. Cluster GS-11 to SES (PWTD) Answer No

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade Level Cluster (GS or Alternate Pay Plan)</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Reportable Disability</th>
<th>Targeted Disability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>#</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Numeral Goal</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grades GS-1 to GS-10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grades GS-11 to SES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Describe how the agency has communicated the numerical goals to the hiring managers and/or recruiters.

Treasury issued a memorandum to HR staff and hiring managers, describing the agency’s commitment to meeting the numerical goals set forth under Section 501. The memorandum emphasized improving hiring efforts in the mission critical occupations: IT Management; Program Analyst; Accounting; and Financial Management Analysis. Additionally the agency provides quarterly updates on the participation, grade distribution, hiring, and separations of PWD and PWTD, allowing leadership to make informed decisions on how the agency is doing towards meeting the established goals for PWD and PWTD. Disability Hiring is communicated in all EEO training to managers, including new managers and supervisors.

Section II: Model Disability Program
Pursuant to 29 C.F.R. § 1614.203(d)(1), agencies must ensure sufficient staff, training and resources to recruit and hire persons with disabilities and persons with targeted disabilities, administer the reasonable accommodation program and special emphasis program, and oversee any other disability hiring and advancement program the agency has in place.

A. PLAN TO PROVIDE SUFFICIENT & COMPETENT STAFFING FOR THE DISABILITY PROGRAM

1. Has the agency designated sufficient qualified personnel to implement its disability program during the reporting period? If “no”, describe the agency’s plan to improve the staffing for the upcoming year.

   Answer   Yes

2. Identify all staff responsible for implementing the agency's disability employment program by the office, staff employment status, and responsible official.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disability Program Task</th>
<th># of FTE Staff By Employment Status</th>
<th>Responsible Official (Name, Title, Office Email)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Processing applications from PWD and PWTD</td>
<td>Full Time: 13  Part Time: 0  Collateral Duty: 0</td>
<td><a href="mailto:angela.jones@fiscal.treasury">angela.jones@fiscal.treasury</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 508 Compliance</td>
<td>Full Time: 1  Part Time: 0  Collateral Duty: 0</td>
<td><a href="mailto:patricia.adams@fiscal.treasury">patricia.adams@fiscal.treasury</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Answering questions from the public about hiring authorities that take disability into account</td>
<td>Full Time: 2  Part Time: 0  Collateral Duty: 0</td>
<td><a href="mailto:erika.edgar@fiscal.treasury">erika.edgar@fiscal.treasury</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Architectural Barriers Act Compliance</td>
<td>Full Time: 1  Part Time: 0  Collateral Duty: 0</td>
<td><a href="mailto:patricia.adams@fiscal.treasury">patricia.adams@fiscal.treasury</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Processing reasonable accommodation requests from applicants and employees</td>
<td>Full Time: 6  Part Time: 0  Collateral Duty: 0</td>
<td><a href="mailto:patricia.adams@fiscal.treasury">patricia.adams@fiscal.treasury</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Emphasis Program for PWD and PWTD</td>
<td>Full Time: 1  Part Time: 0  Collateral Duty: 0</td>
<td><a href="mailto:patricia.adams@fiscal.treasury">patricia.adams@fiscal.treasury</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Has the agency provided disability program staff with sufficient training to carry out their responsibilities during the reporting period? If “yes”, describe the training that disability program staff have received. If “no”, describe the training planned for the upcoming year.

   Answer   Yes

Disability Program Staff has been provided in house training on their responsibilities. External training (Excel Conference) is also made available as well as on line classes through the Treasury Training Learning Management System (TLMS).

B. PLAN TO ENSURE SUFFICIENT FUNDING FOR THE DISABILITY PROGRAM

Has the agency provided sufficient funding and other resources to successfully implement the disability program during the reporting period? If “no”, describe the agency’s plan to ensure all aspects of the disability program have sufficient funding and other resources.

Answer   Yes
Section III: Program Deficiencies In The Disability Program

| Brief Description of Program Deficiency | C.2.b.5. Does the agency process all initial accommodation requests, excluding ongoing interpretative services, within the time frame set forth in its reasonable accommodation procedures? [see MD-715, II(C)] If “no”, please provide the percentage of timely-processed requests, excluding ongoing interpretative services, in the comments column. |
| Objective | Fiscal Service will timely process all RA requests. |
| Target Date | Sep 30, 2019 |
| Completion Date | |
| Planned Activities | | |
| Target Date | Completion Date | Planned Activity |
| Sep 30, 2019 | September 30, 2019 | Review status of requests monthly |
| Sep 30, 2020 | | Provide additional staff to process reasonable accommodation requests |
| Accomplishments | | |
| Fiscal Year | Accomplishment |
| 2019 | Fiscal Service started holding weekly meetings of Reasonable Accommodation Staff to review cases. |

| Brief Description of Program Deficiency | D.4.a. Does the agency post its affirmative action plan on its public website? [see 29 CFR §1614.203(d)(4)] If yes, please provide the internet address in the comments. |
| Objective | Fiscal Service will publish its affirmative action plan on its public website |
| Target Date | Sep 30, 2019 |
| Completion Date | |
| Planned Activities | | |
| Target Date | Completion Date | Planned Activity |
| Sep 30, 2019 | | Ensure affirmative action plan is posted once MD-715 report is finalized. |
| Accomplishments | | |
| Fiscal Year | Accomplishment |
|  |  |

Section IV: Plan to Recruit and Hire Individuals with Disabilities

Pursuant to 29 C.F.R. §1614.203(d)(1)(i) and (ii), agencies must establish a plan to increase the recruitment and hiring of individuals with disabilities. The questions below are designed to identify outcomes of the agency’s recruitment program plan for PWD and PWTD

A. PLAN TO IDENTIFY JOB APPLICATIONS WITH DISABILITIES

1. Describe the programs and resources the agency uses to identify job applicants with disabilities, including individuals with targeted disabilities.

Fiscal Service has a Selective Placement Program Coordinator (SPPC) and the Disability Employment Program Manager (DEPM), a Recruitment & Outreach Program Manager (ROPM) as well as a Veterans Employment Program Manager (VEPM) who all touch on recruiting individuals with disabilities to Fiscal Service. The SPPC and DEPM correspond directly with individuals with disabilities to submit applications through the Special Hiring Authority (Schedule A) program. Fiscal Service maintains an internal database of applications that all hiring managers have access to in their supervisory toolbox.

2. Pursuant to 29 C.F.R. §1614.203(a)(3), describe the agency’s use of hiring authorities that take disability into account (e.g., Schedule A) to recruit PWD and PWTD for positions in the permanent workforce

The agency uses the Schedule A hiring authority for all vacancy announcements posted. The VEPM also places veterans in Fiscal Service through internship programs and veterans hiring authorities. Fiscal Service also has been successful in ensuring that all
eligible individuals hired under Schedule A authority have been converted to permanent status at the end of their probation period.

3. When individuals apply for a position under a hiring authority that takes disability into account (e.g., Schedule A), explain how the agency (1) determines if the individual is eligible for appointment under such authority; and, (2) forwards the individual’s application to the relevant hiring officials with an explanation of how and when the individual may be appointed.

(1) Eligibility determinations are made by reviewing/verifying the submission of appropriate proof of disability documentation (such as records, statements or other information issued by a licensed medial professional, licensed vocational rehabilitation specialist, or documentation from an agency that issues or provides disability benefits). (2) Qualified and eligible candidates are referred to the hiring official via non-competitive certificate of eligibles through the Career Connector system.

4. Has the agency provided training to all hiring managers on the use of hiring authorities that take disability into account (e.g., Schedule A)? If “yes”, describe the type(s) of training and frequency. If “no”, describe the agency’s plan to provide this training.

Answer  Yes

A Roadmap to Success: Hiring, Retaining and Including People with Disabilities course was deployed on July 1, 2019, to 767 employees with a due date of September 30, 2019. Fiscal Service had a completion rate of 100.00%.

B. PLAN TO ESTABLISH CONTACTS WITH DISABILITY EMPLOYMENT ORGANIZATIONS

Describe the agency’s efforts to establish and maintain contacts with organizations that assist PWD, including PWTD, in securing and maintaining employment.

Fiscal Service works with State Rehabilitation agencies in Ohio, West Virginia and Maryland where we do the majority of our hiring. The DEPM contacts agencies in person, via phone and email. Fiscal Service works with the state agencies on internship opportunities as well. Additionally the DEPM holds mock job interviews for persons with disabilities and persons with targeted disabilities at the Fiscal Service offices to give them experience in interviewing. The DEPM provides feed back to the individuals to assist them in preparing for interviews.

C. PROGRESSION TOWARDS GOALS (RECRUITMENT AND HIRING)

1. Using the goals of 12% for PWD and 2% for PWTD as the benchmarks, do triggers exist for PWD and/or PWTD among the new hires in the permanent workforce? If “yes”, please describe the triggers below.

   a. New Hires for Permanent Workforce (PWD)  Answer  No
   b. New Hires for Permanent Workforce (PWTD)  Answer  No

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>New Hires</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Reportable Disability</th>
<th>Targeted Disability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(#)</td>
<td>Permanent Workforce (%)</td>
<td>Temporary Workforce (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of Total Applicants</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of Qualified Applicants</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of New Hires</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2. Using the qualified applicant pool as the benchmark, do triggers exist for PWD and/or PWTD among the new hires for any of the mission-critical occupations (MCO)? If “yes”, please describe the triggers below. Select “n/a” if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

   a. New Hires for MCO (PWD)  
      Answer  No  

   b. New Hires for MCO (PWTD)  
      Answer  Yes  

In FY 2019, Fiscal Service made 246 hires in total. In series 0201 there were no PWTD hired. In series 2210 there were 1.79% PWTD hired.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>New Hires to Mission-Critical Occupations</th>
<th>Total (#)</th>
<th>Reportable Disability</th>
<th>Targetable Disability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Qualified Applicants (%)</td>
<td>New Hires ( %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Numerical Goal</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Using the relevant applicant pool as the benchmark, do triggers exist for PWD and/or PWTD among the qualified internal applicants for any of the mission-critical occupations (MCO)? If “yes”, please describe the triggers below. Select “n/a” if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

   a. Qualified Applicants for MCO (PWD)  
      Answer  No  

   b. Qualified Applicants for MCO (PWTD)  
      Answer  Yes  

In FY 2019 there was a trigger for PWTD applicants for MCO series 0510 (1.28%).

4. Using the qualified applicant pool as the benchmark, do triggers exist for PWD and/or PWTD among employees promoted to any of the mission-critical occupations (MCO)? If “yes”, please describe the triggers below. Select “n/a” if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

   a. Promotions for MCO (PWD)  
      Answer  Yes  

   b. Promotions for MCO (PWTD)  
      Answer  Yes  

For PWD Series 0201, 0343 no employees with PWD promoted Por PWTD Series 0201, 0301, 0343, 0501, 0510, 2210 no employees with PWTD promoted.

Section V: Plan to Ensure Advancement Opportunities for Employees with Disabilities

Pursuant to 29 C.F.R. §1614.203(d)(1)(iii), agencies are required to provide sufficient advancement opportunities for employees with disabilities. Such activities might include specialized training and mentoring programs, career development opportunities, awards programs, promotions, and similar programs that address advancement. In this section, agencies should identify, and provide data on programs designed to ensure advancement opportunities for employees with disabilities.

A. ADVANCEMENT PROGRAM PLAN

Describe the agency’s plan to ensure PWD, including PWTD, have sufficient opportunities for advancement.

All employees are encouraged to take advantage of the 40 hours of training available to all employees as supported by the service-wide training budget and the individual AC training budget. The Commissioner’s Scholarship Program and the Certification Exam Program are available to all employees. Managers are required to create Individual development plans to expand and build upon
each of their employee’s strengths. Managers are reminded to consider permanently assigned PWD/PWTD for development opportunities as part of their Individual Development Planning process. Fiscal Service offers career development opportunities to all employees via notices of opportunities, non-competitive details and promotions, e.g. career-ladder positions; competitive details and merit promotions. In addition, Fiscal Service has a Mentoring Program with platforms to meet the needs of PWD, PWTD and veterans. Developmental opportunities will continue to be broadly communicated to all employees through internet website postings.

B. CAREER DEVELOPMENT OPPORTUNITIES

1. Please describe the career development opportunities that the agency provides to its employees.

Fiscal Service does not have a formal competitive career developmental program. However all offices have developmental programs in place for employees to take classes to make them eligible for promotion to higher grades in their career ladder. Fiscal Service also offers career development classes in person and online that enable employees to develop skills to help them advance and qualify for other positions in Fiscal Service. See A above.

2. In the table below, please provide the data for career development opportunities that require competition and/or supervisory recommendation/ approval to participate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Career Development Opportunities</th>
<th>Total Participants</th>
<th>PWD</th>
<th>PWTD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Applicants (#)</td>
<td>Selectees (#)</td>
<td>Applicants (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internship Programs</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coaching Programs</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Career Development Programs</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fellowship Programs</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training Programs</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mentoring Programs</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detail Programs</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Do triggers exist for PWD among the applicants and/or selectees for any of the career development programs? (The appropriate benchmarks are the relevant applicant pool for the applicants and the applicant pool for selectees.) If “yes”, describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select “n/a” if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

   a. Applicants (PWD) Answer N/A
   b. Selections (PWD)  Answer N/A

Fiscal Service has no competitive career development programs.

4. Do triggers exist for PWTD among the applicants and/or selectees for any of the career development programs? (The appropriate benchmarks are the relevant applicant pool for the applicants and the applicant pool for selectees.) If “yes”, describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select “n/a” if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

   a. Applicants (PWTD) Answer N/A
   b. Selections (PWTD)  Answer N/A

Fiscal Service has no competitive career development programs.
C. AWARDS

1. Using the inclusion rate as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWD and/or PWTD for any level of the time-off awards, bonuses, or other incentives? If “yes”, please describe the trigger(s) in the text box.

   a. Awards, Bonuses, & Incentives (PWD)  
      Answer  Yes

   b. Awards, Bonuses, & Incentives (PWTD)  
      Answer  Yes

Triggers Time off hours 1-10: The inclusion rate for PWD (9.04%) and PWTD (10.0%) was below the inclusion rate for employees with no disability (10.24%). Time off hours 31-40: The inclusion rate for PWD (0.93%) and PWTD (0%) was below the inclusion rate for employees with no disability (1.33%). Cash Awards $500 & Under: The inclusion rate for PWD (61.97%) was below the inclusion rate for employees with no disability (75.82%). Cash Awards $501-$999: The inclusion rate for PWD (61.84%) and PWTD (75%) was below the inclusion rate for employees with no disability (83.27%). Cash Awards $1000-$1999: The inclusion rate for PWD (28.19%) and PWTD (20%) was below the inclusion rate for employees with no disability (71.87%). Cash Awards $2000-$2999: The inclusion rate for PWD (4.92%) and PWTD (1.43%) was below the inclusion rate for employees with no disability (8.14%). Cash Awards $3000-$3999: The inclusion rate for PWD (0.53%) and PWTD (0%) was below the inclusion rate for employees with no disability (2.22%). Cash Awards $4000-$4999: The inclusion rate for PWD (0%) and PWTD (0%) was below the inclusion rate for employees with no disability (0.24%).

2. Using the inclusion rate as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWD and/or PWTD for quality step increases or performance-based pay increases? If “yes”, please describe the trigger(s) in the text box.

   a. Pay Increases (PWD)  
      Answer  Yes

   b. Pay Increases (PWTD)  
      Answer  Yes

Quality Step Increase: The inclusion rate for PWD (0.27%) and PWTD (0%) was below the inclusion rate for employees with no disability (0.52%).

3. If the agency has other types of employee recognition programs, are PWD and/or PWTD recognized disproportionately less than employees without disabilities? (The appropriate benchmark is the inclusion rate.) If “yes”, describe the employee recognition program and relevant data in the text box.

   a. Other Types of Recognition (PWD)  
      Answer  N/A

   b. Other Types of Recognition (PWTD)  
      Answer  N/A

Agency has no other type of employee recognition program.

D. PROMOTIONS

1. Does your agency have a trigger involving PWD among the qualified internal applicants and/or selectees for promotions to the senior grade levels? (The appropriate benchmarks are the relevant applicant pool for qualified internal applicants and the qualified applicant pool for selectees.) For non-GS pay plans, please use the approximate senior grade levels. If “yes”, describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select “n/a” if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.
a. SES
   i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD) Answer No
   ii. Internal Selections (PWD) Answer Yes

b. Grade GS-15
   i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD) Answer No
   ii. Internal Selections (PWD) Answer Yes

c. Grade GS-14
   i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD) Answer No
   ii. Internal Selections (PWD) Answer Yes

d. Grade GS-13
   i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD) Answer No
   ii. Internal Selections (PWD) Answer Yes

For SES The inclusion rate for PWD was 0.00%. For Grade GS-15 The inclusion rate for PWD (0.27%) was below the inclusion rate for employees with no disability (0.36%). For Grade GS-14 The inclusion rate for PWD (0.66%) was below the inclusion rate for employees with no disability (0.89%). For Grade GS-13 The inclusion rate for PWD (2.53%) was below the inclusion rate for employees with no disability (2.74%).

2. Does your agency have a trigger involving PWTD among the qualified internal applicants and/or selectees for promotions to the senior grade levels? (The appropriate benchmarks are the relevant applicant pool for qualified internal applicants and the qualified applicant pool for selectees.) For non-GS pay plans, please use the approximate senior grade levels. If “yes”, describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select “n/a” if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

a. SES
   i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD) Answer No
   ii. Internal Selections (PWTD) Answer Yes

b. Grade GS-15
   i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD) Answer No
   ii. Internal Selections (PWTD) Answer Yes

c. Grade GS-14
   i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD) Answer No
   ii. Internal Selections (PWTD) Answer No

d. Grade GS-13
   i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD) Answer No
   ii. Internal Selections (PWTD) Answer Yes

There were no selections of PWTD for Executives, FS-15 and GS-14. For Grade GS-13 The selections of PWTD was below the
3. Using the qualified applicant pool as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWD among the new hires to the senior grade levels? For non-GS pay plans, please use the approximate senior grade levels. If “yes”, describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select “n/a” if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

   a. New Hires to SES (PWD) Answer Yes
   b. New Hires to GS-15 (PWD) Answer Yes
   c. New Hires to GS-14 (PWD) Answer No
   d. New Hires to GS-13 (PWD) Answer No

Do not have the qualified applicant pool. There were no selections of PWTD for Executives, FS-15 and GS-14. For Grade GS-13 The selections of PWTD was below the inclusion rate.

4. Using the qualified applicant pool as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWTD among the new hires to the senior grade levels? For non-GS pay plans, please use the approximate senior grade levels. If “yes”, describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select “n/a” if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

   a. New Hires to SES (PWTD) Answer Yes
   b. New Hires to GS-15 (PWTD) Answer Yes
   c. New Hires to GS-14 (PWTD) Answer Yes
   d. New Hires to GS-13 (PWTD) Answer No

Do not have the qualified applicant pool. No hires of PWTD for SES, GS-15 and GS-14. External hire of PWTD for GS-13 is above the participation rate.

5. Does your agency have a trigger involving PWD among the qualified internal applicants and/or selectees for promotions to supervisory positions? (The appropriate benchmarks are the relevant applicant pool for qualified internal applicants and the qualified applicant pool for selectees.) If “yes”, describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select “n/a” if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

   a. Executives
      i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD) Answer No
      ii. Internal Selections (PWD) Answer No

   b. Managers
      i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD) Answer No
      ii. Internal Selections (PWD) Answer No

   c. Supervisors
      i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD) Answer No
      ii. Internal Selections (PWD) Answer No
6. Does your agency have a trigger involving PWTD among the qualified internal applicants and/or selectees for promotions to supervisory positions? (The appropriate benchmarks are the relevant applicant pool for qualified internal applicants and the qualified applicant pool for selectees.) If “yes”, describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select “n/a” if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

   a. Executives
      i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD) Answer Yes
      ii. Internal Selections (PWTD) Answer Yes

   b. Managers
      i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD) Answer No
      ii. Internal Selections (PWTD) Answer No

   c. Supervisors
      i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD) Answer No
      ii. Internal Selections (PWTD) Answer No

7. Using the qualified applicant pool as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWD among the selectees for new hires to supervisory positions? If “yes”, describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select “n/a” if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

   a. New Hires for Executives (PWD) Answer Yes
   b. New Hires for Managers (PWD) Answer No
   c. New Hires for Supervisors (PWD) Answer Yes

8. Using the qualified applicant pool as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWTD among the selectees for new hires to supervisory positions? If “yes”, describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select “n/a” if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

   a. New Hires for Executives (PWTD) Answer Yes
   b. New Hires for Managers (PWTD) Answer No
   c. New Hires for Supervisors (PWTD) Answer Yes

Section VI: Plan to Improve Retention of Persons with Disabilities
To be model employer for persons with disabilities, agencies must have policies and programs in place to retain employees with disabilities. In this section, agencies should: (1) analyze workforce separation data to identify barriers retaining employees with disabilities; (2) describe efforts to ensure accessibility of technology and facilities; and (3) provide information on the reasonable accommodation program and workplace assistance services.

A. VOLUNTARY AND INVOLUNTARY SEPARATIONS

1. In this reporting period, did the agency convert all eligible Schedule A employees with a disability into the competitive service after two years of satisfactory service (5 C.F.R. § 213.3102(u)(6)(i))? If “no”, please explain why the agency did not convert all eligible Schedule A employees.

   Answer Yes

2. Using the inclusion rate as the benchmark, did the percentage of PWD among voluntary and involuntary separations exceed that of persons without disabilities? If “yes”, describe the trigger below.

   a. Voluntary Separations (PWD) Answer Yes
   b. Involuntary Separations (PWD) Answer Yes

   The inclusion ratio for PWD (6.78%) slightly exceeded the rate of persons with no disability (6.29%) for voluntary separations. The inclusion ration for PWD (0.53%) exceeded the rate for persons with no disability (0.16%) for involuntary separations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Separations</th>
<th>Total #</th>
<th>Reportable Disabilities %</th>
<th>Without Reportable Disabilities %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Voluntary</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Involuntary</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Using the inclusion rate as the benchmark, did the percentage of PWTD among voluntary and involuntary separations exceed that of persons without targeted disabilities? If “yes”, describe the trigger below.

   a. Voluntary Separations (PWTD) Answer Yes
   b. Involuntary Separations (PWTD) Answer Yes

   The inclusion ratio for PWTD (7.14%) exceeded the rate of persons with no disability (6.29%) for voluntary separations. The inclusion ration for PWTD (0.71%) exceeded the rate for persons with no disability (0.16%) for involuntary separations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Separations</th>
<th>Total #</th>
<th>Targeted Disabilities %</th>
<th>Without Targeted Disabilities %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Voluntary</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Involuntary</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. If a trigger exists involving the separation rate of PWD and/or PWTD, please explain why they left the agency using exit interview results and other data sources.

   Treasury does use exit surveys for involuntary separations. A large proportion of the separations were due to retirement. Fiscal Service is moving jobs from Hyattsville, MD to Parkersburg, WV and Kansas City, MO resulting in a larger than normal amount of transfers to other federal agencies and resignations in FY 2019.

B. ACCESSIBILITY OF TECHNOLOGY AND FACILITIES

Pursuant to 29 CFR §1614.203(d)(4), federal agencies are required to inform applicants and employees of their rights under Section 508 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (29 U.S.C. § 794(b), concerning the accessibility of agency technology, and the Architectural Barriers Act of 1968 (42 U.S.C. § 4151-4157), concerning the accessibility of agency facilities. In addition, agencies are required to inform individuals where to file complaints if other agencies are responsible for a violation.

1. Please provide the internet address on the agency’s public website for its notice explaining employees’ and applicants’ rights under Section 508 of the Rehabilitation Act, including a description of how to file a complaint.
2. Please provide the internet address on the agency’s public website for its notice explaining employees’ and applicants’ rights under the Architectural Barriers Act, including a description of how to file a complaint.

https://fiscal.treasury.gov/careers/employment-policies.html

3. Describe any programs, policies, or practices that the agency has undertaken, or plans on undertaking over the next fiscal year, designed to improve accessibility of agency facilities and/or technology.

Fiscal Service continues: • Looking at the accessibility of its Facilities • Rehabilitating existing office space at various locations and • Including updates in ergonomic designs and accessibility to its facilities.

C. REASONABLE ACCOMMODATION PROGRAM

Pursuant to 29 C.F.R. § 1614.203(d)(3), agencies must adopt, post on their public website, and make available to all job applicants and employees, reasonable accommodation procedures.

1. Please provide the average time frame for processing initial requests for reasonable accommodations during the reporting period. (Please do not include previously approved requests with repetitive accommodations, such as interpreting services.)

The average time frame for processing requests in FY 2019 was 4 days.

2. Describe the effectiveness of the policies, procedures, or practices to implement the agency’s reasonable accommodation program. Some examples of an effective program include timely processing requests, timely providing approved accommodations, conducting training for managers and supervisors, and monitoring accommodation requests for trends.

Reasonable accommodations were timely in 92.5% of all requests (332 total). Reasonable accommodation training is provided to all new employees and all supervisors in FY 2019. Most requested accommodation in FY 2019 was telework.

D. PERSONAL ASSISTANCE SERVICES ALLOWING EMPLOYEES TO PARTICIPATE IN THE WORKPLACE

Pursuant to 29 CFR §1614.203(d)(5), federal agencies, as an aspect of affirmative action, are required to provide personal assistance services (PAS) to employees who need them because of a targeted disability, unless doing so would impose an undue hardship on the agency.

Describe the effectiveness of the policies, procedures, or practices to implement the PAS requirement. Some examples of an effective program include timely processing requests for PAS, timely providing approved services, conducting training for managers and supervisors, and monitoring PAS requests for trends.

Fiscal Service has implemented the PAS policy. There were no requests for PAS in FY 2019.

Section VII: EEO Complaint and Findings Data

A. EEO COMPLAINT DATA INVOLVING HARASSMENT

1. During the last fiscal year, did a higher percentage of PWD file a formal EEO complaint alleging harassment, as compared to the governmentwide average?

Answer  No
2. During the last fiscal year, did any complaints alleging harassment based on disability status result in a finding of discrimination or a settlement agreement?
   Answer: Yes

3. If the agency had one or more findings of discrimination alleging harassment based on disability status during the last fiscal year, please describe the corrective measures taken by the agency.
   N/A

B. EEO COMPLAINT DATA INVOLVING REASONABLE ACCOMMODATION

1. During the last fiscal year, did a higher percentage of PWD file a formal EEO complaint alleging failure to provide a reasonable accommodation, as compared to the government-wide average?
   Answer: No

2. During the last fiscal year, did any complaints alleging failure to provide reasonable accommodation result in a finding of discrimination or a settlement agreement?
   Answer: Yes

3. If the agency had one or more findings of discrimination involving the failure to provide a reasonable accommodation during the last fiscal year, please describe the corrective measures taken by the agency.
   N/A

Section VIII: Identification and Removal of Barriers

*Element D of MD-715 requires agencies to conduct a barrier analysis when a trigger suggests that a policy, procedure, or practice may be impeding the employment opportunities of a protected EEO group.*

1. Has the agency identified any barriers (policies, procedures, and/or practices) that affect employment opportunities for PWD and/or PWTD?
   Answer: No

2. Has the agency established a plan to correct the barrier(s) involving PWD and/or PWTD?
   Answer: N/A

3. Identify each trigger and plan to remove the barrier(s), including the identified barrier(s), objective(s), responsible official(s), planned activities, and, where applicable, accomplishments

4. Please explain the factor(s) that prevented the agency from timely completing any of the planned activities.

5. For the planned activities that were completed, please describe the actual impact of those activities toward eliminating the barrier(s).

6. If the planned activities did not correct the trigger(s) and/or barrier(s), please describe how the agency intends to improve the plan for the next fiscal year.