Affirmative Action Plan
for the Recruitment, Hiring, Advancement, and Retention of Persons with Disabilities

To capture agencies’ affirmative action plan for persons with disabilities (PWD) and persons with targeted disabilities (PWTD), EEOC regulations (29 C.F.R. § 1614.203(e)) and MD-715 require agencies to describe how their affirmative action plan will improve the recruitment, hiring, advancement, and retention of applicants and employees with disabilities.

Section I: Efforts to Reach Regulatory Goals

EEOC regulations (29 CFR §1614.203(d)(7)) require agencies to establish specific numerical goals for increasing the participation of persons with disabilities and persons with targeted disabilities in the federal government.

1. Using the goal of 12% as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWD by grade level cluster in the permanent workforce? If “yes”, describe the trigger(s) in the text box.
   a. Cluster GS-1 to GS-10 (PWD) Answer Yes
   b. Cluster GS-11 to SES (PWD) Answer Yes

The percentage of PWD in the GS1-10 was 4.06% and GS-11 to SES cluster was 3.37% in FY 2019, which falls below the goal of 12%.

*For GS employees, please use two clusters: GS-1 to GS-10 and GS-11 to SES, as set forth in 29 C.F.R. § 1614.203(d)(7). For all other pay plans, please use the approximate grade clusters that are above or below GS-11 Step 1 in the Washington, DC metropolitan region.

2. Using the goal of 2% as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWTD by grade level cluster in the permanent workforce? If “yes”, describe the trigger(s) in the text box.
   a. Cluster GS-1 to GS-10 (PWTD) Answer Yes
   b. Cluster GS-11 to SES (PWTD) Answer Yes

The percentage of PWTD in the GS1 to GS10 cluster was 0.44%, GS-11 to SES cluster was 0.19% in FY 2019, which falls below the goal of 2%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade Level Cluster(GS or Alternate Pay Plan)</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Reportable Disability</th>
<th>Targeted Disability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Numerical Goal</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grades GS-1 to GS-10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grades GS-11 to SES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Describe how the agency has communicated the numerical goals to the hiring managers and/or recruiters.

The Office of Human Resources has a session at Supervisory Challenge that covers hiring people with disabilities and veterans. In the session, we educate new supervisors and managers on the hiring authorities and flexibilities in hiring people with disabilities and veterans and provide potential resources to target veterans and people with disabilities. In these sessions, which are taught at least three times a year, we share best practices within the USGS to include the importance of targeted recruitment and outreach to have the talent pool available when a vacancy occurs.
Section II: Model Disability Program

Pursuant to 29 C.F.R. § 1614.203(d)(1), agencies must ensure sufficient staff, training and resources to recruit and hire persons with disabilities and persons with targeted disabilities, administer the reasonable accommodation program and special emphasis program, and oversee any other disability hiring and advancement program the agency has in place.

A. PLAN TO PROVIDE SUFFICIENT & COMPETENT STAFFING FOR THE DISABILITY PROGRAM

1. Has the agency designated sufficient qualified personnel to implement its disability program during the reporting period? If “no”, describe the agency’s plan to improve the staffing for the upcoming year.

   Answer  Yes

2. Identify all staff responsible for implementing the agency's disability employment program by the office, staff employment status, and responsible official.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disability Program Task</th>
<th># of FTE Staff By Employment Status</th>
<th>Responsible Official (Name, Title, Office Email)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Full Time</td>
<td>Part Time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 508 Compliance</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Processing applications from PWD and PWTD</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Answering questions from the public about hiring authorities that take disability into account</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Processing reasonable accommodation requests from applicants and employees</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Architectural Barriers Act Compliance</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Emphasis Program for PWD and PWTD</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Has the agency provided disability program staff with sufficient training to carry out their responsibilities during the reporting period? If “yes”, describe the training that disability program staff have received. If “no”, describe the training planned for the upcoming year.

   Answer  Yes
The Office of Human Resources staff members participates in annual refresher training for the different hiring authorities for Veterans. The staff also attends OPM/DOI sponsored events focusing on hiring veterans and people with disabilities. These sessions are helpful in providing advisory services to our customers about these different hiring authorities for veterans and people with disabilities.

B. PLAN TO ENSURE SUFFICIENT FUNDING FOR THE DISABILITY PROGRAM

Has the agency provided sufficient funding and other resources to successfully implement the disability program during the reporting period? If “no”, describe the agency’s plan to ensure all aspects of the disability program have sufficient funding and other resources.

Answer  Yes

Section III: Program Deficiencies In The Disability Program

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Brief Description of Program Deficiency</th>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Target Date</th>
<th>Completion Date</th>
<th>Planned Activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B.4.a.8. to effectively administer its special emphasis programs (such as, Federal Women’s Program, Hispanic Employment Program, and People with Disabilities Program Manager)? [5 USC § 7201; 38 USC § 4214; 5 CFR § 720.204; 5 CFR § 213.3102(t) and (u); 5 CFR § 315.709]</td>
<td>Obtain enough human resources and budget allocations to fully support all EEO programs, to include mandates for the Disability Employment Program Manager, the Federal Women Program Manager and the Hispanic Employment Program Manager. Develop vacancy announcement, post, select candidate.</td>
<td>Sep 30, 2018</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sep 30, 2019</td>
<td>1) Secure appropriate budget allocations, for mandated EEO staff positions, to include the Disability Employment Program Manager, the Federal Women Program Manager and the Hispanic Employment Program Manager; 2) Develop impact statements; 3) Collaborate with Budge Office for FTE options; 3) Collaborate with HR to standardize and update EEO staff PDs, performance plans and IDPs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fiscal Year</td>
<td>Accomplishment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>Hiring waiver obtained for new EEO Specialist position within DEO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Brief Description of Program Deficiency</th>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Target Date</th>
<th>Completion Date</th>
<th>Planned Activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C.2.b. Has the agency established disability reasonable accommodation procedures that comply with EEOC’s regulations and guidance? [see 29 CFR §1614.203(d)(3)]</td>
<td>DEO will work with DOI's Office of Civil Rights to ensure RA policy and procedures that comply with EEOC's regulations and guidance.</td>
<td>Sep 30, 2021</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sep 30, 2019</td>
<td>Work with DOI's Office of Civil Rights to review RA procedures of EEOC compliance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fiscal Year</td>
<td>Accomplishment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Brief Description of Program Deficiency</th>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Target Date</th>
<th>Completion Date</th>
<th>Planned Activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C.4.e.1. Implement the Affirmative Action Plan for Individuals with Disabilities? [see 29 CFR §1614.203(d); MD-715, II(C)]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fiscal Year</td>
<td>Accomplishment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Brief Description of Program Deficiency

D.1.c. Does the agency conduct exit interviews or surveys that include questions on how the agency could improve the recruitment, hiring, inclusion, retention and advancement of individuals with disabilities? [see 29 CFR §1614.203(d)(1)(iii)(C)]

Objective

DEO will request changes be made to the department policy on the submission of exit surveys to meet MD 715 requirements

Target Date

Sep 30, 2021

Completion Date

Planned Activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target Date</th>
<th>Completion Date</th>
<th>Planned Activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sep 30, 2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>Collaborate with HR and develop an exit survey that can be administered at the USGS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Accomplishments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Accomplishment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Brief Description of Program Deficiency

D.4.a. Does the agency post its affirmative action plan on its public website? [see 29 CFR §1614.203(d)(4)] If yes, please provide the internet address in the comments.

Objective

Post Agency's Affirmative Action Plan for Persons with Disabilities, once the plan is approved by EEOC.

Target Date

Sep 30, 2021

Completion Date

Planned Activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target Date</th>
<th>Completion Date</th>
<th>Planned Activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Feb 1, 2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>Obtain EEOC approval for Affirmative Action Plan for Persons with Disabilities and post to public webpage.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Accomplishments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Accomplishment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Section IV: Plan to Recruit and Hire Individuals with Disabilities

Pursuant to 29 C.F.R. §1614.203(d)(1)(i) and (ii), agencies must establish a plan to increase the recruitment and hiring of individuals with disabilities. The questions below are designed to identify outcomes of the agency’s recruitment program plan for PWD and PWTD

A. PLAN TO IDENTIFY JOB APPLICATIONS WITH DISABILITIES

1. Describe the programs and resources the agency uses to identify job applicants with disabilities, including individuals with targeted disabilities.

The USGS HR Office has developed relationships with the VA Employment Offices located throughout the U.S. We also reach out to the DOD, Wounded Warrior Program and use targeted recruitment efforts to include Workforce Recruitment Program and reach out to colleges and university veteran and disability program offices to reach qualified candidates.

2. Pursuant to 29 C.F.R. §1614.203(a)(3), describe the agency’s use of hiring authorities that take disability into account (e.g., Schedule A) to recruit PWD and PWTD for positions in the permanent workforce

The Office of Human Resources consults with hiring managers to discuss the various hiring authorities available to them to include Schedule A and veteran hiring authorities to promote hiring people with disabilities. In addition, we consider non-competitive applicants for both Merit Promotion and Delegated Examining. When a non-competitive certificate is issued, the HR specialist advises the manager on the non-competitive hiring authorities once again to provide further education of these programs.

3. When individuals apply for a position under a hiring authority that takes disability into account (e.g., Schedule A), explain how the agency (1) determines if the individual is eligible for appointment under such authority; and, (2) forwards the individual's application to the relevant hiring officials with an explanation of how and when the individual may be
appointed.

The applications are reviewed against OPM basic qualifications, if an applicant meets the minimum qualification requirements and provide supporting documentation, i.e. Schedule A letter, the applicant is referred on a non-competitive certificate. The HR specialist uses these opportunities to educate our managers on special hiring flexibilities.

4. Has the agency provided training to all hiring managers on the use of hiring authorities that take disability into account (e.g., Schedule A)? If “yes”, describe the type(s) of training and frequency. If “no”, describe the agency’s plan to provide this training.

Answer: Yes

Since 2010, The Office of Human Resources has been providing training to supervisors and managers on Schedule A and Veteran hiring authorities. The HR staff also receives refresher training on an as needed basis.

B. PLAN TO ESTABLISH CONTACTS WITH DISABILITY EMPLOYMENT ORGANIZATIONS

Describe the agency’s efforts to establish and maintain contacts with organizations that assist PWD, including PWTD, in securing and maintaining employment.

The Office of Human Resources, along with many hiring officials have established and maintained relationships with the VA Employment Coordinators and local veteran and disability offices at their local colleges. These relationships have helped to target veterans and people with disabilities. In many cases, prior to advertising the job opportunity on USAJOBS, the hiring managers go directly to these talent pools to obtain eligible candidates which results in quicker hires.

C. PROGRESSION TOWARDS GOALS (RECRUITMENT AND HIRING)

1. Using the goals of 12% for PWD and 2% for PWTD as the benchmarks, do triggers exist for PWD and/or PWTD among the new hires in the permanent workforce? If “yes”, please describe the triggers below.

   a. New Hires for Permanent Workforce (PWD)  
   Answer: Yes

   b. New Hires for Permanent Workforce (PWTD)  
   Answer: Yes

Among the new hires in FY-19, the permanent workforce, triggers exist for PWD (9.70%) and PWTD (1.90%), both of which fall below the respective benchmark of 12% for PWD and 2% for PWTD.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>New Hires</th>
<th>Total (#)</th>
<th>Reportable Disability</th>
<th>Targeted Disability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Permanente</td>
<td>Temporary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Workforce (%)</td>
<td>Workforce (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Permanente</td>
<td>Temporary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Workforce (%)</td>
<td>Workforce (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of Total</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applicants (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of Qualified</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applicants (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of New Hires</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Using the qualified applicant pool as the benchmark, do triggers exist for PWD and/or PWTD among the new hires for any of the mission-critical occupations (MCO)? If “yes”, please describe the triggers below. Select “n/a” if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

   a. New Hires for MCO (PWD)  
   Answer: Yes
b. New Hires for MCO (PWTD)  Answer Yes

In comparison to the benchmarks, triggers exist for PWD in the following Mission Critical Occupations: Geographer (.0%), Administration Operations Assistant (0.0%), Program Analyst (0.0%), Biologist (0.0%), Fish Biology (0.0%), Physical Scientist (0.0%), Hydrologist (0.0%), Hydrologic Technician (5.0%), Research Chemist (0.0%), Geologist (0.0%), Cartographer (0.0%), Student Trainee Hydrologic Technician (0.0%), Information Technology Specialist (0.0%), and PWTD (0.0%) in all the same categories except; Hydrologic Technician for the qualified external applicants in FY 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>New Hires to Mission-Critical Occupations</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Reportable Disability</th>
<th>Targetable Disability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(#)</td>
<td>(%)</td>
<td>(%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Numerical Goal</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Using the relevant applicant pool as the benchmark, do triggers exist for PWD and/or PWTD among the qualified internal applicants for any of the mission-critical occupations (MCO)? If “yes”, please describe the triggers below. Select “n/a” if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

   a. Qualified Applicants for MCO (PWD)  Answer No

   b. Qualified Applicants for MCO (PWTD)  Answer No

4. Using the qualified applicant pool as the benchmark, do triggers exist for PWD and/or PWTD among employees promoted to any of the mission-critical occupations (MCO)? If “yes”, please describe the triggers below. Select “n/a” if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

   a. Promotions for MCO (PWD)  Answer Yes

   b. Promotions for MCO (PWTD)  Answer Yes

In comparison to the benchmarks, triggers exist for PWD (0.00%) and PWTD (0.00%) among the selections for promotion of all the MCO for FY 2019 No PWD or PWTD employees were selected for promotion during FY 2019

Section V: Plan to Ensure Advancement Opportunities for Employees with Disabilities

Pursuant to 29 C.F.R. §1614.203(d)(1)(iii), agencies are required to provide sufficient advancement opportunities for employees with disabilities. Such activities might include specialized training and mentoring programs, career development opportunities, awards programs, promotions, and similar programs that address advancement. In this section, agencies should identify, and provide data on programs designed to ensure advancement opportunities for employees with disabilities.

A. ADVANCEMENT PROGRAM PLAN

Describe the agency’s plan to ensure PWD, including PWTD, have sufficient opportunities for advancement.

USGS encourages all employees to complete an Individual Development Plan with their supervisor, which is used to identify training needs necessary to be successful in their current position, and in possible future advancement opportunities. Our merit promotion plan also promotes internal advancement opportunities for PWD and disabled veterans, through various non-competitive hiring authorities. In addition, many occupations within USGS have established career ladders requiring on the job and classroom training as well as mentoring to ensure success and opportunity for advancement.

B. CAREER DEVELOPMENT OPPORTUNITIES
1. Please describe the career development opportunities that the agency provides to its employees.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Leadership Intensive</th>
<th>Leadership 101</th>
<th>Leadership 201</th>
<th>Mentoring Program</th>
<th>Supervisory Challenge</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

2. In the table below, please provide the data for career development opportunities that require competition and/or supervisory recommendation/approval to participate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Career Development Opportunities</th>
<th>Total Participants</th>
<th>PWD</th>
<th>PWTD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Applicants (#)</td>
<td>Selectees (#)</td>
<td>Applicants (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mentoring Programs</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training Programs</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>469</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internship Programs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fellowship Programs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coaching Programs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detail Programs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Career Development Programs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Do triggers exist for PWD among the applicants and/or selectees for any of the career development programs? (The appropriate benchmarks are the relevant applicant pool for the applicants and the applicant pool for selectees.) If “yes”, describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select “n/a” if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

a. Applicants (PWD) Answer No
b. Selections (PWD) Answer No

Do Not Have Relevant Applicant Pool information

4. Do triggers exist for PWTD among the applicants and/or selectees for any of the career development programs? (The appropriate benchmarks are the relevant applicant pool for the applicants and the applicant pool for selectees.) If “yes”, describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select “n/a” if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

a. Applicants (PWTD) Answer No
b. Selections (PWTD) Answer No

Do Not Have Relevant Applicant Pool information

C. AWARDS

1. Using the inclusion rate as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWD and/or PWTD for any level of the time-off awards, bonuses, or other incentives? If “yes”, please describe the trigger(s) in the text box.

a. Awards, Bonuses, & Incentives (PWD) Answer Yes
b. Awards, Bonuses, & Incentives (PWTD) Answer Yes

In FY 2019, the agency identified a trigger involving the percentage of 6.93% PWD who received time-off and Cash awards. In FY 2019, the agency identified a trigger involving the percentage of 1.60% PWTD who received time-off and $100-$500 Cash awards.
2. Using the inclusion rate as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWD and/or PWTD for quality step increases or performance-based pay increases? If “yes”, please describe the trigger(s) in the text box.
   a. Pay Increases (PWD) Answer Yes
   b. Pay Increases (PWTD) Answer Yes

In FY 2019, the agency identified a trigger involving the percentage of 6.55% PWD and 1.31% PWTD who received a quality step increase.

3. If the agency has other types of employee recognition programs, are PWD and/or PWTD recognized disproportionately less than employees without disabilities? (The appropriate benchmark is the inclusion rate.) If “yes”, describe the employee recognition program and relevant data in the text box.
   a. Other Types of Recognition (PWD) Answer N/A
   b. Other Types of Recognition (PWTD) Answer N/A

D. PROMOTIONS

1. Does your agency have a trigger involving PWD among the qualified internal applicants and/or selectees for promotions to the senior grade levels? (The appropriate benchmarks are the relevant applicant pool for qualified internal applicants and the qualified applicant pool for selectees.) For non-GS pay plans, please use the approximate senior grade levels. If “yes”, describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select “n/a” if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.
   a. SES
      i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD) Answer No
      ii. Internal Selections (PWD) Answer No
   b. Grade GS-15
      i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD) Answer No
      ii. Internal Selections (PWD) Answer No
   c. Grade GS-14
      i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD) Answer No
      ii. Internal Selections (PWD) Answer No
   d. Grade GS-13
      i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD) Answer No
      ii. Internal Selections (PWD) Answer No
2. Does your agency have a trigger involving PWTD among the qualified internal applicants and/or selectees for promotions to the senior grade levels? (The appropriate benchmarks are the relevant applicant pool for qualified internal applicants and the qualified applicant pool for selectees.) For non-GS pay plans, please use the approximate senior grade levels. If "yes", describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select “n/a” if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

   a. SES
      i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD) Answer No
      ii. Internal Selections (PWTD) Answer No

   b. Grade GS-15
      i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD) Answer No
      ii. Internal Selections (PWTD) Answer No

   c. Grade GS-14
      i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD) Answer No
      ii. Internal Selections (PWTD) Answer No

   d. Grade GS-13
      i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD) Answer No
      ii. Internal Selections (PWTD) Answer No

3. Using the qualified applicant pool as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWD among the new hires to the senior grade levels? For non-GS pay plans, please use the approximate senior grade levels. If “yes”, describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select “n/a” if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

   a. New Hires to SES (PWD) Answer No
   b. New Hires to GS-15 (PWD) Answer No
   c. New Hires to GS-14 (PWD) Answer No
   d. New Hires to GS-13 (PWD) Answer No

4. Using the qualified applicant pool as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWTD among the new hires to the senior grade levels? For non-GS pay plans, please use the approximate senior grade levels. If “yes”, describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select “n/a” if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

   a. New Hires to SES (PWTD) Answer No
   b. New Hires to GS-15 (PWTD) Answer No
   c. New Hires to GS-14 (PWTD) Answer No
   d. New Hires to GS-13 (PWTD) Answer No
5. Does your agency have a trigger involving PWD among the qualified internal applicants and/or selectees for promotions to supervisory positions? (The appropriate benchmarks are the relevant applicant pool for qualified internal applicants and the qualified applicant pool for selectees.) If “yes”, describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select “n/a” if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

   a. Executives
   i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD) Answer: No
   ii. Internal Selections (PWD) Answer: No

   b. Managers
   i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD) Answer: No
   ii. Internal Selections (PWD) Answer: No

   c. Supervisors
   i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD) Answer: No
   ii. Internal Selections (PWD) Answer: No

6. Does your agency have a trigger involving PWTD among the qualified internal applicants and/or selectees for promotions to supervisory positions? (The appropriate benchmarks are the relevant applicant pool for qualified internal applicants and the qualified applicant pool for selectees.) If “yes”, describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select “n/a” if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

   a. Executives
   i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD) Answer: No
   ii. Internal Selections (PWTD) Answer: No

   b. Managers
   i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD) Answer: No
   ii. Internal Selections (PWTD) Answer: No

   c. Supervisors
   i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD) Answer: No
   ii. Internal Selections (PWTD) Answer: No

7. Using the qualified applicant pool as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWD among the selectees for new hires to supervisory positions? If “yes”, describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select “n/a” if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

   a. New Hires for Executives (PWD) Answer: No
   b. New Hires for Managers (PWD) Answer: No
8. Using the qualified applicant pool as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWTD among the selectees for new hires to supervisory positions? If “yes”, describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select “n/a” if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

   a. New Hires for Executives (PWTD) Answer No
   b. New Hires for Managers (PWTD) Answer No
   c. New Hires for Supervisors (PWTD) Answer No

Section VI: Plan to Improve Retention of Persons with Disabilities

To be model employer for persons with disabilities, agencies must have policies and programs in place to retain employees with disabilities. In this section, agencies should: (1) analyze workforce separation data to identify barriers retaining employees with disabilities; (2) describe efforts to ensure accessibility of technology and facilities; and (3) provide information on the reasonable accommodation program and workplace assistance services.

A. VOLUNTARY AND INVOLUNTARY SEPARATIONS

1. In this reporting period, did the agency convert all eligible Schedule A employees with a disability into the competitive service after two years of satisfactory service (5 C.F.R. § 213.3102(u)(6)(i))? If “no”, please explain why the agency did not convert all eligible Schedule A employees.

   Answer N/A

2. Using the inclusion rate as the benchmark, did the percentage of PWD among voluntary and involuntary separations exceed that of persons without disabilities? If “yes”, describe the trigger below.

   a. Voluntary Separations (PWD) Answer No
   b. Involuntary Separations (PWD) Answer No

3. Using the inclusion rate as the benchmark, did the percentage of PWTD among voluntary and involuntary separations exceed that of persons without targeted disabilities? If “yes”, describe the trigger below.

   a. Voluntary Separations (PWTD) Answer No
   b. Involuntary Separations (PWTD) Answer No

4. If a trigger exists involving the separation rate of PWD and/or PWTD, please explain why they left the agency using exit interview results and other data sources.
B. ACCESSIBILITY OF TECHNOLOGY AND FACILITIES

Pursuant to 29 CFR §1614.203(d)(4), federal agencies are required to inform applicants and employees of their rights under Section 508 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (29 U.S.C. § 794(b), concerning the accessibility of agency technology, and the Architectural Barriers Act of 1968 (42 U.S.C. § 4151-4157), concerning the accessibility of agency facilities. In addition, agencies are required to inform individuals where to file complaints if other agencies are responsible for a violation.

1. Please provide the internet address on the agency’s public website for its notice explaining employees’ and applicants’ rights under Section 508 of the Rehabilitation Act, including a description of how to file a complaint.

https://www.doi.gov/ocio/section508

2. Please provide the internet address on the agency’s public website for its notice explaining employees’ and applicants’ rights under the Architectural Barriers Act, including a description of how to file a complaint.

The ABA does not fall under the USGS Section 508 program. I have reached out to Admin and will pass along any information I obtain for a POC for that program.

3. Describe any programs, policies, or practices that the agency has undertaken, or plans on undertaking over the next fiscal year, designed to improve accessibility of agency facilities and/or technology.

A new SM chapter is in final stages of approval and whose dissemination and publication is imminent. This updates the agency policy to bring in line with the Section 508 rule update that came into effect earlier this year. Also, a liaison network of agency personnel is being compiled of those with expertise on accessibility issues so that accessibility issues can be raised and addressed at every level of the agency. This includes: PDF remediation, procurement, website design and remediation, closed captioning, audio description, and general accessibility issues. Also, we are pursuing automated software that will assist in scanning websites for accessibility issues.

C. REASONABLE ACCOMMODATION PROGRAM

Pursuant to 29 C.F.R. § 1614.203(d)(3), agencies must adopt, post on their public website, and make available to all job applicants and employees, reasonable accommodation procedures.

1. Please provide the average time frame for processing initial requests for reasonable accommodations during the reporting period. (Please do not include previously approved requests with repetitive accommodations, such as interpreting services.)

1 to 20 business days

2. Describe the effectiveness of the policies, procedures, or practices to implement the agency’s reasonable accommodation program. Some examples of an effective program include timely processing requests, timely providing approved accommodations, conducting training for managers and supervisors, and monitoring accommodation requests for trends.

During FY 2019 the USGS processed and approved requests in a timely manner, generally, within 10-20 days. In addition, new managers and supervisors that were trained in our “Supervisory Challenge” course was provided the curricula for their responsibilities under the procedures for reasonable accommodations. However, the USGS has identified that specific and tailored training for managers and supervisors is needed, and planning is underway for FY 20/21 to meet the expectation.

D. PERSONAL ASSISTANCE SERVICES ALLOWING EMPLOYEES TO PARTICIPATE IN THE
WORKPLACE
Pursuant to 29 CFR §1614.203(d)(5), federal agencies, as an aspect of affirmative action, are required to provide personal assistance services (PAS) to employees who need them because of a targeted disability, unless doing so would impose an undue hardship on the agency.

Describe the effectiveness of the policies, procedures, or practices to implement the PAS requirement. Some examples of an effective program include timely processing requests for PAS, timely providing approved services, conducting training for managers and supervisors, and monitoring PAS requests for trends.

No request for PAS have been received during FY 2019

Section VII: EEO Complaint and Findings Data

A. EEO COMPLAINT DATA INVOLVING HARASSMENT

1. During the last fiscal year, did a higher percentage of PWD file a formal EEO complaint alleging harassment, as compared to the governmentwide average?
   Answer  No

2. During the last fiscal year, did any complaints alleging harassment based on disability status result in a finding of discrimination or a settlement agreement?
   Answer  No

3. If the agency had one or more findings of discrimination alleging harassment based on disability status during the last fiscal year, please describe the corrective measures taken by the agency.
   N/A

B. EEO COMPLAINT DATA INVOLVING REASONABLE ACCOMMODATION

1. During the last fiscal year, did a higher percentage of PWD file a formal EEO complaint alleging failure to provide a reasonable accommodation, as compared to the government-wide average?
   Answer  No

2. During the last fiscal year, did any complaints alleging failure to provide reasonable accommodation result in a finding of discrimination or a settlement agreement?
   Answer  No

3. If the agency had one or more findings of discrimination involving the failure to provide a reasonable accommodation during the last fiscal year, please describe the corrective measures taken by the agency.
   N/A

Section VIII: Identification and Removal of Barriers

Element D of MD-715 requires agencies to conduct a barrier analysis when a trigger suggests that a policy, procedure, or practice may be impeding the employment opportunities of a protected EEO group.

1. Has the agency identified any barriers (policies, procedures, and/or practices) that affect employment opportunities for PWD and/or PWTD?
   Answer  No
2. Has the agency established a plan to correct the barrier(s) involving PWD and/or PWTD?
   
   Answer  No

3. Identify each trigger and plan to remove the barrier(s), including the identified barrier(s), objective(s), responsible official(s), planned activities, and, where applicable, accomplishments

4. Please explain the factor(s) that prevented the agency from timely completing any of the planned activities.

   N/A

5. For the planned activities that were completed, please describe the actual impact of those activities toward eliminating the barrier(s).

   N/A

6. If the planned activities did not correct the trigger(s) and/or barrier(s), please describe how the agency intends to improve the plan for the next fiscal year.

   N/A