Affirmative Action Plan
for the Recruitment, Hiring, Advancement, and Retention of Persons with Disabilities

To capture agencies’ affirmative action plan for persons with disabilities (PWD) and persons with targeted disabilities (PWTD), EEOC regulations (29 C.F.R. § 1614.203(e)) and MD-715 require agencies to describe how their affirmative action plan will improve the recruitment, hiring, advancement, and retention of applicants and employees with disabilities.

Section I: Efforts to Reach Regulatory Goals

EEOC regulations (29 CFR §1614.203(d)(7)) require agencies to establish specific numerical goals for increasing the participation of persons with disabilities and persons with targeted disabilities in the federal government.

1. Using the goal of 12% as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWD by grade level cluster in the permanent workforce? If “yes”, describe the trigger(s) in the text box.
   a. Cluster GS-1 to GS-10 (PWD) Answer No
   b. Cluster GS-11 to SES (PWD) Answer No

*For GS employees, please use two clusters: GS-1 to GS-10 and GS-11 to SES, as set forth in 29 C.F.R. § 1614.203(d)(7). For all other pay plans, please use the approximate grade clusters that are above or below GS-11 Step 1 in the Washington, DC metropolitan region.

2. Using the goal of 2% as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWTD by grade level cluster in the permanent workforce? If “yes”, describe the trigger(s) in the text box.
   a. Cluster GS-1 to GS-10 (PWTD) Answer No
   b. Cluster GS-11 to SES (PWTD) Answer No

3. Describe how the agency has communicated the numerical goals to the hiring managers and/or recruiters.

Managers are notified of the numerical goals when they receive the packets from Human Resources prior to participating in an interview board or other hiring activity.

Section II: Model Disability Program

Pursuant to 29 C.F.R. § 1614.203(d)(1), agencies must ensure sufficient staff, training and resources to recruit and hire persons with disabilities and persons with targeted disabilities, administer the reasonable accommodation program and special emphasis program, and oversee any other disability hiring and advancement program the agency has in place.
A. PLAN TO PROVIDE SUFFICIENT & COMPETENT STAFFING FOR THE DISABILITY PROGRAM

1. Has the agency designated sufficient qualified personnel to implement its disability program during the reporting period? If “no”, describe the agency’s plan to improve the staffing for the upcoming year.

Answer  Yes

2. Identify all staff responsible for implementing the agency’s disability employment program by the office, staff employment status, and responsible official.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disability Program Task</th>
<th># of FTE Staff By Employment Status</th>
<th>Responsible Official (Name, Title, Office Email)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Architectural Barriers Act Compliance</td>
<td>Full Time: 1 Part Time: 0 Collateral Duty: 0</td>
<td>Richard Bond, PRS, Email: <a href="mailto:richard.bond@usuhs.edu">richard.bond@usuhs.edu</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Processing applications from PWD and PWTD</td>
<td>Full Time: 0 Part Time: 0 Collateral Duty: 0</td>
<td>Polly Saddler, EEO Director, USU office E-2016, Email: <a href="mailto:polly.saddler@usuhs.edu">polly.saddler@usuhs.edu</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Answering questions from the public about hiring authorities that take disability into account</td>
<td>Full Time: 1 Part Time: 0 Collateral Duty: 0</td>
<td>Polly Saddler, EEO Director, USU office E-2016, Email: <a href="mailto:polly.saddler@usuhs.edu">polly.saddler@usuhs.edu</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Processing reasonable accommodation requests from applicants and employees</td>
<td>Full Time: 1 Part Time: 0 Collateral Duty: 0</td>
<td>Polly Saddler, EEO Director, USU office E-2016, Email: <a href="mailto:polly.saddler@usuhs.edu">polly.saddler@usuhs.edu</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Emphasis Program for PWD and PWTD</td>
<td>Full Time: 1 Part Time: 0 Collateral Duty: 0</td>
<td>Polly Saddler, EEO Director, USU office E-2016, Email: <a href="mailto:polly.saddler@usuhs.edu">polly.saddler@usuhs.edu</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 508 Compliance</td>
<td>Full Time: 1 Part Time: 0 Collateral Duty: 0</td>
<td>Pamela Bell, Compliance Officer Email: <a href="mailto:pamela.bell@usuhs.edu">pamela.bell@usuhs.edu</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Has the agency provided disability program staff with sufficient training to carry out their responsibilities during the reporting period? If “yes”, describe the training that disability program staff have received. If “no”, describe the training planned for the upcoming year.

Answer  Yes

The disability program attended Neli training on providing reasonable accommodation and attended seminars on the types of assistive technology that is available for reasonable accommodation.

B. PLAN TO ENSURE SUFFICIENT FUNDING FOR THE DISABILITY PROGRAM
Has the agency provided sufficient funding and other resources to successfully implement the disability program during the reporting period? If “no”, describe the agency’s plan to ensure all aspects of the disability program have sufficient funding and other resources.

Answer  Yes

Section III: Program Deficiencies In The Disability Program

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Brief Description of Program Deficiency</th>
<th>B.4.a.8. to effectively administer its special emphasis programs (such as, Federal Women’s Program, Hispanic Employment Program, and People with Disabilities Program Manager)? [5 USC § 7201; 38 USC § 4214; 5 CFR § 720.204; 5 CFR § 213.3102(t) and (u); 5 CFR § 315.709]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>The EEO Office is currently understaffed; however there is a PD for a GS 12 EEO Specialist that is being processed by HR. With an additional staff member onboard we anticipate establishing co-lateral duty positions to administer SEPM programs.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Target Date</td>
<td>Dec 31, 23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completion Date</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned Activities</th>
<th>Target Date</th>
<th>Completion Date</th>
<th>Planned Activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dec 31, 2023</td>
<td></td>
<td>The EEO Office is currently understaffed; however there is a PD for a GS 12 EEO Specialist that is being processed by HR. With an additional staff member onboard we anticipate establishing co-lateral duty positions to administer SEPM programs.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Accomplishments</th>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Accomplishment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2021</td>
<td>PD for additional staff member approved at Agency level and forwarded to Washington State for classification.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Brief Description of Program Deficiency</th>
<th>C.2.b. Has the agency established disability reasonable accommodation procedures that comply with EEOC’s regulations and guidance? [see 29 CFR §1614.203(d)(3)]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Brief Description of Program Deficiency</th>
<th>C.4.e.1. Implement the Affirmative Action Plan for Individuals with Disabilities? [see 29 CFR §1614.203(d); MD-715, II(C)]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Implement Affirmative Action Plan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Target Date</td>
<td>Dec 31, 23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completion Date</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned Activities</th>
<th>Target Date</th>
<th>Completion Date</th>
<th>Planned Activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dec 31, 23</td>
<td></td>
<td>Meet with CHR Director and other senior managers to review and discuss plans to implement AAP.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Accomplishments</th>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Accomplishment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
Section IV: Plan to Recruit and Hire Individuals with Disabilities

Pursuant to 29 C.F.R. §1614.203(d)(1)(i) and (ii), agencies must establish a plan to increase the recruitment and hiring of individuals with disabilities. The questions below are designed to identify outcomes of the agency’s recruitment program plan for PWD and PWTD

A. PLAN TO IDENTIFY JOB APPLICATIONS WITH DISABILITIES

1. Describe the programs and resources the agency uses to identify job applicants with disabilities, including individuals with targeted disabilities.

Each hiring manager receives an information packet from HR prior to participating in the hiring process that contains information on Schedule A hiring authority and the hiring of disabled veterans.

2. Pursuant to 29 C.F.R. §1614.203(a)(3), describe the agency’s use of hiring authorities that take disability into account (e.g., Schedule A) to recruit PWD and PWTD for positions in the permanent workforce

USU utilizes schedule A hiring authority to hire veterans and others with disabilities

3. When individuals apply for a position under a hiring authority that takes disability into account (e.g., Schedule A), explain how the agency (1) determines if the individual is eligible for appointment under such authority; and, (2) forwards the individual’s application to the relevant hiring officials with an explanation of how and when the individual may be appointed.

The agency reviews the documentation applicants upload through USA jobs to support their schedule A eligibility. Hiring managers...
are trained to consider applicants based on their knowledge skills and abilities for the position. The mandatory EEO annual training provides extensive information on the agency reasonable accommodation program. Managers know that we have a robust program for providing reasonable accommodation.

4. Has the agency provided training to all hiring managers on the use of hiring authorities that take disability into account (e.g., Schedule A)? If “yes”, describe the type(s) of training and frequency. If “no”, describe the agency’s plan to provide this training.

Answer  Yes

Managers receive information from Human Resources on schedule A hiring when they are preparing to review applicants for positions. Information on Schedule A Hiring will be added to the annual EEO Training for Supervisors Only.

B. PLAN TO ESTABLISH CONTACTS WITH DISABILITY EMPLOYMENT ORGANIZATIONS

Describe the agency’s efforts to establish and maintain contacts with organizations that assist PWD, including PWTD, in securing and maintaining employment.

USU plans to participate in the Workforce Recruitment program in 2022 to allow managers to work with more individuals with disabilities to raise awareness of the program and provide the opportunity for them to work with IWD and possibly hire some of the students who work for USU during the program.

C. PROGRESSION TOWARDS GOALS (RECRUITMENT AND HIRING)

1. Using the goals of 12% for PWD and 2% for PWTD as the benchmarks, do triggers exist for PWD and/or PWTD among the new hires in the permanent workforce? If “yes”, please describe the triggers below.

   a. New Hires for Permanent Workforce (PWD) Answer No

   b. New Hires for Permanent Workforce (PWTD) Answer No

2. Using the qualified applicant pool as the benchmark, do triggers exist for PWD and/or PWTD among the new hires for any of the mission-critical occupations (MCO)? If “yes”, please describe the triggers below. Select “n/a” if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

   a. New Hires for MCO (PWD) Answer No

   b. New Hires for MCO (PWTD) Answer No
New Hires to Mission-Critical Occupations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>New Hires/Categorical Title</th>
<th>Total #</th>
<th>Reportable Disability</th>
<th>Targetable Disability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Numerical Goal</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Using the relevant applicant pool as the benchmark, do triggers exist for PWD and/or PWTD among the qualified internal applicants for any of the mission-critical occupations (MCO)? If “yes”, please describe the triggers below. Select “n/a” if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

   a. Qualified Applicants for MCO (PWD)  
      Answer  No

   b. Qualified Applicants for MCO (PWTD)  
      Answer  No

4. Using the qualified applicant pool as the benchmark, do triggers exist for PWD and/or PWTD among employees promoted to any of the mission-critical occupations (MCO)? If “yes”, please describe the triggers below. Select “n/a” if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

   a. Promotions for MCO (PWD)  
      Answer  No

   b. Promotions for MCO (PWTD)  
      Answer  No

Section V: Plan to Ensure Advancement Opportunities for Employees with Disabilities

Pursuant to 29 C.F.R. §1614.203(d)(1)(iii), agencies are required to provide sufficient advancement opportunities for employees with disabilities. Such activities might include specialized training and mentoring programs, career development opportunities, awards programs, promotions, and similar programs that address advancement. In this section, agencies should identify, and provide data on programs designed to ensure advancement opportunities for employees with disabilities.

A. ADVANCEMENT PROGRAM PLAN

   Describe the agency’s plan to ensure PWD, including PWTD, have sufficient opportunities for advancement.

The agency does not currently have a formal program for employee development; however, one is being created and PWD and PWTD will be able to participate and EEO staff will work with the developers of the program to ensure that there is emphasis for IWD.

B. CAREER DEVELOPMENT OPPORTUNITIES

1. Please describe the career development opportunities that the agency provides to its employees.

   Career development takes place through informal mentoring that mentors and mentees put in place individually. There are also mentoring programs for students. There are plans for the agency to formalize a career development program for all USU employees.

2. In the table below, please provide the data for career development opportunities that require competition and/or supervisory recommendation/approval to participate.
3. Do triggers exist for PWD among the applicants and/or selectees for any of the career development programs? (The appropriate benchmarks are the relevant applicant pool for the applicants and the applicant pool for selectees.) If “yes”, describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select “n/a” if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

   a. Applicants (PWD)  Answer: No
   b. Selections (PWD)  Answer: No

4. Do triggers exist for PWTD among the applicants and/or selectees for any of the career development programs? (The appropriate benchmarks are the relevant applicant pool for the applicants and the applicant pool for selectees.) If “yes”, describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select “n/a” if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

   a. Applicants (PWTD)  Answer: No
   b. Selections (PWTD)  Answer: No

C. AWARDS

1. Using the inclusion rate as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWD and/or PWTD for any level of the time-off awards, bonuses, or other incentives? If “yes”, please describe the trigger(s) in the text box.

   a. Awards, Bonuses, & Incentives (PWD)  Answer: No
   b. Awards, Bonuses, & Incentives (PWTD)  Answer: No

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time-Off Awards</th>
<th>Total (#)</th>
<th>Reportable Disability %</th>
<th>Without Reportable Disability %</th>
<th>Targeted Disability %</th>
<th>Without Targeted Disability %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Time-Off Awards 1 - 10 hours: Awards Given</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time-Off Awards 1 - 10 hours: Total Hours</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time-Off Awards 1 - 10 hours: Average Hours</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time-Off Awards 11 - 20 hours: Awards Given</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time-Off Awards</td>
<td>Total (#)</td>
<td>Reportable Disability %</td>
<td>Without Reportable Disability %</td>
<td>Targeted Disability %</td>
<td>Without Targeted Disability %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time-Off Awards 11 - 20 Hours: Total Hours</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time-Off Awards 11 - 20 Hours: Average Hours</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time-Off Awards 21 - 30 hours: Awards Given</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time-Off Awards 21 - 30 Hours: Total Hours</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time-Off Awards 21 - 30 Hours: Average Hours</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time-Off Awards 31 - 40 hours: Awards Given</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time-Off Awards 31 - 40 Hours: Total Hours</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time-Off Awards 31 - 40 Hours: Average Hours</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time-Off Awards 41 or more Hours: Awards Given</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time-Off Awards 41 or more Hours: Total Hours</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time-Off Awards 41 or more Hours: Average Hours</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cash Awards</th>
<th>Total (#)</th>
<th>Reportable Disability %</th>
<th>Without Reportable Disability %</th>
<th>Targeted Disability %</th>
<th>Without Targeted Disability %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cash Awards: $501 - $999: Awards Given</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>7.56</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash Awards: $501 - $999: Total Amount</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash Awards: $501 - $999: Average Amount</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash Awards: $1000 - $1999: Awards Given</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>6.25</td>
<td>38.78</td>
<td>37.50</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash Awards: $1000 - $1999: Total Amount</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash Awards: $1000 - $1999: Average Amount</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash Awards: $2000 - $2999: Awards Given</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>8.33</td>
<td>28.78</td>
<td>50.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash Awards: $2000 - $2999: Total Amount</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash Awards: $2000 - $2999: Average Amount</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash Awards: $3000 - $3999: Awards Given</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>10.98</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash Awards: $3000 - $3999: Total Amount</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash Awards: $3000 - $3999: Average Amount</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash Awards: $4000 - $4999: Awards Given</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>6.83</td>
<td>12.50</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash Awards: $4000 - $4999: Total Amount</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash Awards: $4000 - $4999: Average Amount</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2. Using the inclusion rate as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWD and/or PWTD for quality step increases or performance-based pay increases? If “yes”, please describe the trigger(s) in the text box.
   a. Pay Increases (PWD)  Answer  No
   b. Pay Increases (PWTD) Answer  No

3. If the agency has other types of employee recognition programs, are PWD and/or PWTD recognized disproportionately less than employees without disabilities? (The appropriate benchmark is the inclusion rate.) If “yes”, describe the employee recognition program and relevant data in the text box.
   a. Other Types of Recognition (PWD)  Answer  No
   b. Other Types of Recognition (PWTD) Answer  No

D. PROMOTIONS

1. Does your agency have a trigger involving PWD among the qualified internal applicants and/or selectees for promotions to the senior grade levels? (The appropriate benchmarks are the relevant applicant pool for qualified internal applicants and the qualified applicant pool for selectees.) For non-GS pay plans, please use the approximate senior grade levels. If “yes”, describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select “n/a” if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

   a. SES
      i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD) Answer  No
      ii. Internal Selections (PWD) Answer  No

   b. Grade GS-15
      i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD) Answer  No
      ii. Internal Selections (PWD) Answer  No

   c. Grade GS-14
      i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD) Answer  No
      ii. Internal Selections (PWD) Answer  No

   d. Grade GS-13

2. Using the inclusion rate as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWD and/or PWTD for quality step increases or performance-based pay increases? If “yes”, please describe the trigger(s) in the text box.

   a. Pay Increases (PWD)  Answer  No
   b. Pay Increases (PWTD) Answer  No

3. If the agency has other types of employee recognition programs, are PWD and/or PWTD recognized disproportionately less than employees without disabilities? (The appropriate benchmark is the inclusion rate.) If “yes”, describe the employee recognition program and relevant data in the text box.

   a. Other Types of Recognition (PWD)  Answer  No
   b. Other Types of Recognition (PWTD) Answer  No
i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD) | Answer | No
--- | --- | ---
ii. Internal Selections (PWD) | Answer | No

2. Does your agency have a trigger involving PWTD among the qualified internal applicants and/or selectees for promotions to the senior grade levels? (The appropriate benchmarks are the relevant applicant pool for qualified internal applicants and the qualified applicant pool for selectees.) For non-GS pay plans, please use the approximate senior grade levels. If “yes”, describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select “n/a” if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

   a. SES
      i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD) | Answer | No
      ii. Internal Selections (PWTD) | Answer | No

   b. Grade GS-15
      i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD) | Answer | No
      ii. Internal Selections (PWTD) | Answer | No

   c. Grade GS-14
      i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD) | Answer | No
      ii. Internal Selections (PWTD) | Answer | No

   d. Grade GS-13
      i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD) | Answer | No
      ii. Internal Selections (PWTD) | Answer | No

3. Using the qualified applicant pool as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWD among the new hires to the senior grade levels? For non-GS pay plans, please use the approximate senior grade levels. If “yes”, describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select “n/a” if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

   a. New Hires to SES (PWD) | Answer | No
   b. New Hires to GS-15 (PWD) | Answer | No
   c. New Hires to GS-14 (PWD) | Answer | No
   d. New Hires to GS-13 (PWD) | Answer | No

4. Using the qualified applicant pool as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWTD among the new hires to the senior grade levels? For non-GS pay plans, please use the approximate senior grade levels. If “yes”, describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select “n/a” if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

   a. New Hires to SES (PWTD) | Answer | No
   b. New Hires to GS-15 (PWTD) | Answer | No
c. New Hires to GS-14 (PWTD)  Answer  No

d. New Hires to GS-13 (PWTD)  Answer  No

5. Does your agency have a trigger involving PWD among the qualified internal applicants and/or selectees for promotions to supervisory positions? (The appropriate benchmarks are the relevant applicant pool for qualified internal applicants and the qualified applicant pool for selectees.) If “yes”, describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select “n/a” if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

   a. Executives
      i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD)  Answer  No
      ii. Internal Selections (PWD)  Answer  No

   b. Managers
      i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD)  Answer  No
      ii. Internal Selections (PWD)  Answer  No

   c. Supervisors
      i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD)  Answer  No
      ii. Internal Selections (PWD)  Answer  No

6. Does your agency have a trigger involving PWTD among the qualified internal applicants and/or selectees for promotions to supervisory positions? (The appropriate benchmarks are the relevant applicant pool for qualified internal applicants and the qualified applicant pool for selectees.) If “yes”, describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select “n/a” if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

   a. Executives
      i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD)  Answer  No
      ii. Internal Selections (PWTD)  Answer  No

   b. Managers
      i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD)  Answer  No
      ii. Internal Selections (PWTD)  Answer  No

   c. Supervisors
      i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD)  Answer  No
      ii. Internal Selections (PWTD)  Answer  No

7. Using the qualified applicant pool as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWD among the selectees for new hires to supervisory positions? If “yes”, describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select “n/a” if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.
8. Using the qualified applicant pool as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWTD among the selectees for new hires to supervisory positions? If “yes”, describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select “n/a” if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

   a. New Hires for Executives (PWD)  Answer  No
   b. New Hires for Managers (PWD)  Answer  No
   c. New Hires for Supervisors (PWD)  Answer  No

Section VI: Plan to Improve Retention of Persons with Disabilities

To be model employer for persons with disabilities, agencies must have policies and programs in place to retain employees with disabilities. In this section, agencies should: (1) analyze workforce separation data to identify barriers retaining employees with disabilities; (2) describe efforts to ensure accessibility of technology and facilities; and (3) provide information on the reasonable accommodation program and workplace assistance services.

A. VOLUNTARY AND INVOLUNTARY SEPARATIONS

1. In this reporting period, did the agency convert all eligible Schedule A employees with a disability into the competitive service after two years of satisfactory service (5 C.F.R. § 213.3102(u)(6)(i))? If “no”, please explain why the agency did not convert all eligible Schedule A employees.

   Answer  Yes

2. Using the inclusion rate as the benchmark, did the percentage of PWD among voluntary and involuntary separations exceed that of persons without disabilities? If “yes”, describe the trigger below.

   a. Voluntary Separations (PWD)  Answer  No
   b. Involuntary Separations (PWD)  Answer  No

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Separations</th>
<th>Total #</th>
<th>Reportable Disabilities %</th>
<th>Without Reportable Disabilities %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Permanent Workforce: Reduction in Force</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanent Workforce: Removal</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanent Workforce: Resignation</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>2.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanent Workforce: Retirement</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>2.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanent Workforce: Other Separations</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>4.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanent Workforce: Total Separations</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>9.38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Using the inclusion rate as the benchmark, did the percentage of PWTD among voluntary and involuntary separations exceed that of persons without targeted disabilities? If “yes”, describe the trigger below.
a. Voluntary Separations (PWTD)  
Answer No

b. Involuntary Separations (PWTD)  
Answer No

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Separations</th>
<th>Total #</th>
<th>Targeted Disabilities %</th>
<th>Without Targeted Disabilities %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Permanent Workforce: Reduction in Force</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanent Workforce: Removal</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanent Workforce: Resignation</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>2.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanent Workforce: Retirement</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>2.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanent Workforce: Other Separations</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>4.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanent Workforce: Total Separations</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>8.74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. If a trigger exists involving the separation rate of PWD and/or PWTD, please explain why they left the agency using exit interview results and other data sources.

There were no triggers identified.

**B. ACCESSIBILITY OF TECHNOLOGY AND FACILITIES**

Pursuant to 29 CFR §1614.203(d)(4), federal agencies are required to inform applicants and employees of their rights under Section 508 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (29 U.S.C. § 794(b), concerning the accessibility of agency technology, and the Architectural Barriers Act of 1968 (42 U.S.C. § 4151-4157), concerning the accessibility of agency facilities. In addition, agencies are required to inform individuals where to file complaints if other agencies are responsible for a violation.

1. Please provide the internet address on the agency’s public website for its notice explaining employees’ and applicants’ rights under Section 508 of the Rehabilitation Act, including a description of how to file a complaint.

   www.usuhs.edu

2. Please provide the internet address on the agency’s public website for its notice explaining employees’ and applicants’ rights under the Architectural Barriers Act, including a description of how to file a complaint.

   www.usuhs.edu

3. Describe any programs, policies, or practices that the agency has undertaken, or plans on undertaking over the next fiscal year, designed to improve accessibility of agency facilities and/or technology.

   The agency has plans to send participants (IT and EEO) to some of the technology conferences set up for those who provide reasonable accommodations. The agency has expanded its reasonable accommodation policy/instruction to be more detailed to benefit employees and supervisors.

**C. REASONABLE ACCOMMODATION PROGRAM**

Pursuant to 29 C.F.R. § 1614.203(d)(3), agencies must adopt, post on their public website, and make available to all job applicants and employees, reasonable accommodation procedures.

1. Please provide the average time frame for processing initial requests for reasonable accommodations during the reporting period. (Please do not include previously approved requests with repetitive accommodations, such as interpreting services.)
2. Describe the effectiveness of the policies, procedures, or practices to implement the agency’s reasonable accommodation program. Some examples of an effective program include timely processing requests, timely providing approved accommodations, conducting training for managers and supervisors, and monitoring accommodation requests for trends.

The policies and procedures for reasonable accommodation are taught in a classroom setting annually to supervisors and employees. Getting the information out in this fashion has produced an increase in the number of requests we receive and the timeliness of getting the requests processed and approved. Even when we have to disapprove a request, we are getting it done within the regulatory time-frames.

D. PERSONAL ASSISTANCE SERVICES ALLOWING EMPLOYEES TO PARTICIPATE IN THE WORKPLACE

Pursuant to 29 CFR §1614.203(d)(5), federal agencies, as an aspect of affirmative action, are required to provide personal assistance services (PAS) to employees who need them because of a targeted disability, unless doing so would impose an undue hardship on the agency.

Describe the effectiveness of the policies, procedures, or practices to implement the PAS requirement. Some examples of an effective program include timely processing requests for PAS, timely providing approved services, conducting training for managers and supervisors, and monitoring PAS requests for trends.

We have not received any requests for PAS at this time. We do have a policy and employees and supervisors are aware of the steps to request PAS and they know that the services must be provided by the agency unless to do so would cause undue hardship.

Section VII: EEO Complaint and Findings Data

A. EEO COMPLAINT DATA INVOLVING HARASSMENT

1. During the last fiscal year, did a higher percentage of PWD file a formal EEO complaint alleging harassment, as compared to the governmentwide average?

   Answer  No

2. During the last fiscal year, did any complaints alleging harassment based on disability status result in a finding of discrimination or a settlement agreement?

   Answer  No

3. If the agency had one or more findings of discrimination alleging harassment based on disability status during the last fiscal year, please describe the corrective measures taken by the agency.

---

B. EEO COMPLAINT DATA INVOLVING REASONABLE ACCOMMODATION

1. During the last fiscal year, did a higher percentage of PWD file a formal EEO complaint alleging failure to provide a reasonable accommodation, as compared to the government-wide average?

   Answer  No

2. During the last fiscal year, did any complaints alleging failure to provide reasonable accommodation result in a finding of discrimination or a settlement agreement?

   Answer  N/A
3. If the agency had one or more findings of discrimination involving the failure to provide a reasonable accommodation during the last fiscal year, please describe the corrective measures taken by the agency.

Section VIII: Identification and Removal of Barriers

*Element D of MD-715 requires agencies to conduct a barrier analysis when a trigger suggests that a policy, procedure, or practice may be impeding the employment opportunities of a protected EEO group.*

1. Has the agency identified any barriers (policies, procedures, and/or practices) that affect employment opportunities for PWD and/or PWTD?

   Answer: No

2. Has the agency established a plan to correct the barrier(s) involving PWD and/or PWTD?

   Answer: N/A

3. Identify each trigger and plan to remove the barrier(s), including the identified barrier(s), objective(s), responsible official(s), planned activities, and, where applicable, accomplishments

4. Please explain the factor(s) that prevented the agency from timely completing any of the planned activities.

   N/A

5. For the planned activities that were completed, please describe the actual impact of those activities toward eliminating the barrier(s).

   N/A

6. If the planned activities did not correct the trigger(s) and/or barrier(s), please describe how the agency intends to improve the plan for the next fiscal year.

   N/A